May 17, 2021



The American Chamber of Commerce in Hong Kong 1904 Bank of America Tower 12 Harcourt Road, Hong Kong

Ms Maisie Cheng, JP Director of Environmental Protection Environmental Protection Department 16/F, East Wing, Central Government Offices 2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar Hong Kong

Dear Ms Cheng,

Encl.

## **Producer Responsibility Scheme – Plastic Beverage Containers**

The American Chamber of Commerce is one of the largest international business chambers in Hong Kong, and on behalf of our members, is pleased to be able to respond to this important public consultation exercise.

Back in 2014, the Chamber strongly supported government's longer term plans to both reduce waste and for the extension of landfills and to develop Hong Kong's first waste incinerator. Whilst these latter two developments can help buy time for other measures to work, we do feel it is now time to ramp up efforts to increase the recycling of key 'waste' items and strengthen the circular economy in Hong Kong.

We are therefore supportive of the proposed PRS Scheme and have pleasure in enclosing the View Response Form, duly completed, and trust that the government will continue to bring forward additional measures to facilitate both recycling and a reduction in the overall level of municipal solid waste.

| Yours sincerely,         |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Tara Joseph<br>President |  |  |  |
|                          |  |  |  |

## **Public Consultation on PPRS**

Email: pprs@epd.gov.hk

Waste Management Policy Group **Environmental Protection Department** 

Rm. 1501, 15/F, Chinachem Exchange Square, 1 Hoi Wan Street

Quarry Bay, Hong Kong

Fax: 3121-5761

## **Annex B: View Response Form**

## Part I: Basic Information

| Part I. Dasic Illioi Illation                                     |                                     |                         |                                 |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Which of the following identities a                               | re you using to respond to this vie | w response form?        |                                 |  |
| Professional Bodies / Institutions                                | Public Organisations                |                         | Green Groups                    |  |
| ☐ Industry Associations   | ☐ Companies                         |                         | ☐ Others                        |  |
| Name of Organisations / Companies                                 | : American Chamber of Comm          | nerce in Hong Kong      |                                 |  |
| ☐ Individuals   |                                     |                         |                                 |  |
| Email Address: amcham@amc   | ham.org.hk                          |                         |                                 |  |
| Part II: Consultation Questio                                     | ons                                 |                         |                                 |  |
| Q1: Do you support introducing a n                                | nandatory PRS to enhance the rec    | ycling of plastic bever | age containers?                 |  |
| Strongly Support Sup  | pport Neutral                       | Oppose                  | Strongly Oppose                 |  |
| Q2: Do you agree that the PPRS sh                                 |                                     | thin the volume range   | of 100mL-2L?  Strongly Disagree |  |
| Q3: Do you support the provision o                                | of rebate under the proposed PPRS   | S?  Oppose              | Strongly Oppose                 |  |
| Q4(a): Do you consider a rebate at                                | 10 cents per container an appropr   | riate level?            |                                 |  |
| Strongly Agree Agr  | ree Neutral                         | Disagree                | Strongly Disagree               |  |
| Q4(b): If not, what should be the m                               | ninimum rebate level?               |                         |                                 |  |
| 5 cents   | 20 cents                            | <b>✓</b>                | 30 cents                        |  |
| Others (please specify) :   |                                     |                         |                                 |  |
| Q5: Do you support that relevant re take-back and rebate redempti | •                                   | etail stores) should be | mandated to provide             |  |
| Strongly Support Sup  | pport Neutral                       | Oppose                  | Strongly Oppose                 |  |

| Q6: What are your preferred types of locations listed below for the take-back and rebate redemption servi | ces? |
|---|------|
| Please accord priority. (1 has the highest priority; 6 has the lowest priority. Please do not repeat.)    |      |

| Public transport facilities   | Public<br>facilities                     | Shopping<br>centres                   | Supermarkets                           | Other relevant retail stores           | Residential<br>estates |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|--|--|
| 1   | 6  | 3                                     | 4                                      | 5                                      | 2                      |  |  |
| Others (please specify):  |  |                                       |  |  |                        |  |  |
| Q7: Do you support that we should collect the recycling levy at supplier level (i.e. manufacturers and importers) to fund the operation of the PPRS?  |  |                                       |  |  |                        |  |  |
| Strongly Supp   | ort Suppor                               | t Ne                                  | utral                                  | Oppose                                 | Strongly Oppose        |  |  |
| Q8: Do you agree that moderate reduction of recycling levy should be allowed if suppliers have provided proper arrangements to recycle plastic beverage containers meeting certain environmental requirements?  |  |                                       |  |  |                        |  |  |
| Strongly Agree  | e Agree                                  | Ne                                    | utral                                  | Disagree                               | Strongly Disagree      |  |  |
| Q9: Do you support imposing licensing requirement on recycling facilities for handling the waste plastics collected under the proposed PPRS?  |  |                                       |  |  |                        |  |  |
| ✓ Strongly Supp   | ort Suppor                               | t Ne                                  | utral                                  | Oppose                                 | Strongly Oppose        |  |  |
| Q10(a): Do you have any specific suggestion(s) on promoting eco-packaging design?   |  |                                       |  |  |                        |  |  |
| Government should work closely with businesses in this sector and NGOs to regularly review both design options and in future to review the effectiveness of this PRS scheme.  |  |                                       |  |  |                        |  |  |
| Q10(b): Do you have any other comments on the PPRS and other plastic-related issues?  |  |                                       |  |  |                        |  |  |
| Given the imposition of the levy, suppliers may seek to escape the PRS by turning to packaging which is not covered (e.g. laminated/waxed board/paper) but which is even more difficult to recycle. The scheme design and implementation needs to watch out for this and help prevent it. |  |                                       |  |  |                        |  |  |
|   |  |                                       |  |  |                        |  |  |
| Given the impos   | sition of the levy,<br>ered (e.g. lamina | suppliers may see<br>ted/waxed board/ | ek to escape the F<br>paper) but which | PRS by turning to<br>is even more diff | ficult to recycle      |  |  |